

# The Luckiness Principle

Peter Grünwald  
CWI, Amsterdam



- Ideas from COLT/Information Theory
  - e.g. PAC-Bayes
  - universal data compression
- Model uncertainty using probability distribution. Incorporate prior knowledge, but with different interpretation from Bayesian priors
  - make much weaker claims about the world than a Bayesian predictor does

# Example: Gzip

- Consider your favorite data compressor, e.g. **Gzip**
- There must be some distribution  $P_{\text{gzip}}$  over byte sequences  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  such that, for all  $n, x^n$  ,
  - $-\log P_{\text{gzip}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = L_{\text{gzip}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots \sim P^*$ 
  - If  $P^* \neq P_{\text{gzip}}$  then there must be a code that is, on average, more efficient than Gzip
  - If  $P^* = P_{\text{gzip}}$  then Gzip is the most efficient, and **the entropy  $H(P_{\text{gzip}})$  is a good estimate of the average number of bits needed to encode a text**, i.e. for real texts, it should hold that

$$H(P_{\text{gzip}}) \approx n^{-1} L_{\text{gzip}}(x^n)$$

# Example: Gzip

- Consider your favorite data compressor, e.g. **Gzip**
- There must be some distribution  $P_{\text{gzip}}$  over byte sequences  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  such that, for all  $n, x^n$  ,
  - $-\log P_{\text{gzip}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = L_{\text{gzip}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots \sim P^*$ 
  - If  $P^* \neq P_{\text{gzip}}$  then there must be a code that is, on average, more efficient than Gzip
  - If  $P^* = P_{\text{gzip}}$  then Gzip is the most efficient, and **the entropy  $H(P_{\text{gzip}})$  is a good estimate of the average number of bits needed to encode a text**, i.e. for real texts, it should hold that

$$H(P_{\text{gzip}}) \approx n^{-1} L_{\text{gzip}}(x^n)$$

**But of course, this doesn't hold at all!**

# Luckiness Principle

- Gzip (PPMD) distribution perform reasonable if used for some prediction tasks
  - e.g. data compression, estimate frequencies of symbols
- But **very bad** when used for other tasks
  - e.g. estimate how many bits you need if you use it to code data
- Apparently, prior knowledge is “adequate” for some prediction tasks, not others

————→ different construction of “predictive distributions”:

$$\arg \min_{\bar{P}} \max_{x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} \left\{ -\log \bar{P}(x^n) - \left[ \min_{\theta \in \Theta} -\log P(x^n | \theta) + a(\theta) \right] \right\}$$

————→

$$\bar{P}(x^n) \approx \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} P(x^n | \theta) \frac{e^{-a(\theta)}}{\sum_{\theta' \in \Theta} e^{-a(\theta')}}$$

# Question

- I indicated how to do this for log loss/data compression (modern versions of MDL are actually based on this idea!)
- But can we come up with a formulation for general loss functions?
- ...apologies for not being practical...